




## Service Area 1

**County of Los Angeles**  
**Department of Mental Health**  
 Program Support Bureau  
 Quality Improvement & Training Divisions-Data-GIS Unit


## Population Demographics

- Total Population - **358,266**  
 ⇒ Estimated Population Living with Serious Emotional Disturbance & Serious Mental Illness - **25,465 (7.1%)**
- Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level - **145,974 (40.7%)**  
 ⇒ Estimated Population Living with a Serious Emotional Disturbance & Serious Mental Illness and below 200% Federal Poverty Level - **10,295 (2.9%)**
- Population Eligible for Medi-Cal Benefits - **88,424 (24.7%)**
- Consumers Served in Publicly Funded Mental Health Outpatient Facilities - **6,765 (1.9%)**

**Total Population in 2007**




**Population by Ethnicity-2007**





# Publicly Funded Mental Health Clinics in Service Area 1 County of Los Angeles - Department of Mental Health




## Mental Health Clinics

- Directly Operated Clinics by DMH
- ▲ Contract Operated Clinics

Note: City name shown in red  
Community name shown in black




Map Coordinate System  
NAD\_1983\_StatePlane\_California\_V\_FIPS\_0405\_Feet  
County of Los Angeles  
Department of Mental Health  
Quality Improvement Division: Data-GIS Unit  
Map created by ISD SSISD UR-GIS (DN)  
March 11, 2010  
Data from DMH, LACO Central Repository and  
Thomas Brothers  
All rights reserved.



**Table 1: Estimated Population by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

CITY	Community	White	African American	Native American	Latino	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Total
Lancaster		73,474	23,055	984	39,322	6,152	142,987
	Percentage	51.4%	16.1%	0.7%	27.5%	4.3%	100%
Pamdale		56,923	20,864	851	60,890	6,309	145,837
	Percentage	39.0%	14.3%	0.6%	41.8%	4.3%	100%
Unincorporated Areas	Community						
	Acton	6,500	134	73	1,268	68	8,043
	Percentage	80.8%	1.7%	0.9%	15.8%	0.8%	100%
	Agua Dulce	3,554	61	25	543	42	4,225
	Percentage	84.1%	1.4%	0.6%	12.9%	1.0%	100%
	Del Sur	1,465	62	26	378	22	1,953
	Percentage	75.0%	3.2%	1.3%	19.4%	1%	100%
	Gorman	1,136	49	15	268	25	1,493
	Percentage	76.1%	3.3%	1.0%	18.0%	1.7%	100%
	Hi Vista	1,827	95	33	1,075	18	3,048
	Percentage	59.9%	3.1%	1.1%	35.3%	0.6%	100%
	Lake Hughes	1,862	75	7	444	9	2,397
	Percentage	77.7%	3.1%	0.3%	18.5%	0%	100%
	Lake Los Angeles	5,382	1,675	123	4,896	88	12,164
	Percentage	44.2%	13.8%	1.0%	40.2%	0.7%	100%
	Leona Valley	2,505	74	28	414	19	3,040
	Percentage	82.4%	2.4%	0.9%	13.6%	0.6%	100%
	Llano	933	74	10	238	0	1,255
	Percentage	74.3%	5.9%	0.8%	19.0%	0%	100%
	Pearblossom	6,746	1,284	114	5,748	80	13,972
	Percentage	48.3%	9.2%	0.8%	41.1%	1%	100%
	Quartz Hill	10,226	696	104	3,345	275	14,646
	Percentage	69.8%	4.8%	0.7%	22.8%	2%	100%
	South Antelope Valley	1,501	256	32	1,349	68	3,206
	Percentage	46.8%	8.0%	1.0%	42.1%	2.1%	100%
Total		174,034	48,454	2,425	120,178	13,175	358,266
	Percentage	48.6%	13.5%	0.7%	33.5%	3.7%	100%


**Estimated Population by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1 - CY 2008**



**Table 2: Estimated Population by Age Group  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**


CITY	Community	Children 0-15 yrs	TAY <sup>1</sup> 16-25 yrs	Adult 26-59 yrs	Older Adult 60+ yrs	Total
Lancaster		36,628	25,305	63,508	17,546	142,987
	Percentage	25.6%	17.7%	44.4%	12.3%	100%
Pamdale		41,159	28,658	62,294	13,726	145,837
	Percentage	28.2%	19.7%	42.7%	9.4%	100%
Unincorporated Areas	Community					
	Acton	1,376	1,499	3,969	1,199	8,043
	Percentage	17.1%	18.6%	49.3%	14.9%	100%
	Agua Dulce	770	728	2,010	717	4,225
	Percentage	18.2%	17.2%	47.6%	17.0%	100%
	Del Sur	349	304	805	495	1,953
	Percentage	17.9%	15.6%	41.2%	25.3%	100%
	Gorman	280	248	662	303	1,493
	Percentage	18.8%	16.6%	44.3%	20.3%	100%
	Hi Vista	746	523	1,270	509	3,048
	Percentage	24.5%	17.2%	41.7%	16.7%	100%
	Lake Hughes	477	553	1,103	264	2,397
	Percentage	19.9%	23.1%	46.0%	11.0%	100%
	Lake Los Angeles	3,339	2,790	4,777	1,258	12,164
	Percentage	27.4%	22.9%	39.3%	10.3%	100%
	Leona Valley	514	477	1,264	785	3,040
	Percentage	16.9%	15.7%	41.6%	25.8%	100%
	Llano	151	186	615	303	1,255
	Percentage	12.0%	14.8%	49.0%	24.1%	100%
	Pearblossom	3,190	2,707	5,913	2,162	13,972
	Percentage	22.8%	19.4%	42.3%	15.5%	100%
	Quartz Hill	3,109	2,758	6,343	2,436	14,646
	Percentage	21.2%	18.8%	43.3%	16.6%	100%
	South Antelope Valley	947	546	1,332	381	3,206
	Percentage	29.5%	17.0%	41.5%	11.9%	100%
Total		93,035	67,282	155,865	42,084	358,266
	Percentage	26.0%	18.8%	43.5%	11.7%	100%

<sup>1</sup> TAY = Transition Age Youth



**Table 3: Estimated Population by Gender  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**


<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>72,915</b>	<b>70,072</b>	<b>142,987</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	51.0%	49.0%	100%
<b>Pamdale</b>		<b>71,645</b>	<b>74,192</b>	<b>145,837</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	49.1%	50.9%	100%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>			
	<b>Acton</b>	<b>4,177</b>	<b>3,866</b>	<b>8,043</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	51.9%	48.1%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>2,124</b>	<b>2,101</b>	<b>4,225</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	50.3%	49.7%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>990</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>1,953</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	50.7%	49.3%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>761</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>1,493</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	51.0%	49.0%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>1,564</b>	<b>1,484</b>	<b>3,048</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	51.3%	48.7%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>1,313</b>	<b>1,084</b>	<b>2,397</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	54.8%	45.2%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>6,021</b>	<b>6,143</b>	<b>12,164</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	49.5%	50.5%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>1,432</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>3,040</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	47.1%	52.9%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1,255</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	56.4%	43.6%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>7,150</b>	<b>6,822</b>	<b>13,972</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	51.2%	48.8%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>7,216</b>	<b>7,430</b>	<b>14,646</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	49.3%	50.7%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>1,612</b>	<b>1,594</b>	<b>3,206</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	50.3%	49.7%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>179,628</b>	<b>178,638</b>	<b>358,266</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	50.1%	49.9%	100%




## Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) & Serious Mental Illness (SMI) - 2007

California State Department of Mental Health calculates prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (for Children) and Serious Mental Illness (for Adults) for all the Counties in the State. These estimates are calculated by applying prediction weights and developed from nationally prominent survey studies and applied to California County population demographics. The State recommends that Prevalence Rates should be understood as reasonable estimates rather than counts of actual individuals.

### Prevalence by Ethnicity - SA 1



### Prevalence by Gender - SA 1



Prevalence Rate by Ethnicity: Whites = 6.32%, African American = 7.17%, Latino = 7.65%, Native American = 6.58%, Asian/Pacific Islander = 7.0%.

Prevalence Rate by Gender: Males = 6.37%, Females = 7.76%.

Prevalence rates are available at [http://dmh.ca.gov/Statistics and Data](http://dmh.ca.gov/Statistics_and_Data)

**Table 4: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>African American</b>	<b>Native American</b>	<b>Latino</b>	<b>Asian/ Pacific Islander</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>5,077</b>	<b>1,692</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>2,851</b>	<b>466</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	50.0%	16.7%	0.7%	28.1%	4.6%
<b>Pamdale</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>3,933</b>	<b>1,531</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>4,415</b>	<b>478</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	37.8%	14.7%	0.6%	42.4%	4.6%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>					
	<b>Acton</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>449</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>5</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	80.0%	1.8%	0.9%	16.4%	0.9%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>246</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>3</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	83.4%	1.5%	0.6%	13.4%	1.1%
	<b>Del Sur</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>101</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>2</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	74.1%	3.3%	1.3%	20.0%	1.2%
	<b>Gorman</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>78</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	75.1%	3.4%	1.0%	18.6%	1.8%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>126</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	58.8%	3.2%	1.1%	36.3%	0.6%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>129</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	76.8%	3.3%	0.3%	19.2%	0.4%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>372</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>7</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	43.0%	14.2%	1.0%	41.0%	0.8%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>173</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	81.7%	2.6%	0.9%	14.2%	0.7%
	<b>Llano</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>64</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	73.4%	6.2%	0.8%	19.6%	0.0%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>466</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>6</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	47.0%	9.5%	0.8%	42.0%	0.6%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>707</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>21</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	68.7%	5.0%	0.7%	23.6%	2.0%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>					
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>104</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>5</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.5%	8.3%	1.0%	42.9%	2.3%
<b>Total Population Estimated with SED &amp; SMI</b>		<b>12,026</b>	<b>3,557</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>8,713</b>	<b>999</b>
<i>Percentage</i>		47.2%	14.0%	0.7%	34.2%	3.9%
<b>SMI Rates for Total Population by Ethnicity</b>		<b>6.32%</b>	<b>7.17%</b>	<b>6.58%</b>	<b>7.65%</b>	<b>7.00%</b>

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County Population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH

**Table 5: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Age Group  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Children 0-15 yrs</b>	<b>TAY<sup>1</sup> 16-25 yrs</b>	<b>Adult 26-59 yrs</b>	<b>Older Adults 60+ yrs</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>2,857</b>	<b>2,138</b>	<b>3,804</b>	<b>912</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	25.0%	18.3%	44.2%	12.5%
<b>Pamdale</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>3,210</b>	<b>2,422</b>	<b>3,731</b>	<b>714</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	27.5%	20.4%	42.5%	9.6%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>				
	<b>Acton</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>107</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>62</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	16.6%	19.2%	48.9%	15.2%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>60</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>37</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	17.7%	17.8%	47.2%	17.3%
	<b>Del Sur</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>26</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	17.3%	16.0%	40.8%	25.8%
	<b>Gorman</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>16</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	18.2%	17.1%	44.0%	20.7%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>58</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>26</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	23.8%	17.7%	41.4%	17.1%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>14</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	19.3%	23.8%	45.6%	11.2%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>260</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>65</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	26.7%	23.7%	39.0%	10.6%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>41</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	16.4%	16.2%	41.2%	26.3%
	<b>Llano</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	11.7%	15.3%	48.5%	24.6%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>249</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>112</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	22.2%	20.0%	42.0%	15.8%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>243</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>127</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	20.6%	19.4%	43.0%	17.0%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>74</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>20</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	28.8%	17.7%	41.4%	12.2%
<b>Total Population Estimated with SED &amp; SMI</b>		<b>7,257</b>	<b>5,685</b>	<b>9,336</b>	<b>2,188</b>
<i>Percentage</i>		25.3%	19.4%	43.3%	12.0%

<sup>1</sup> TAY = Transition Age Youth

<b>SMI Rates for Total Population by Age Group</b>	<b>7.80%</b>	<b>8.45%</b>	<b>5.99%</b>	<b>5.20%</b>
--	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County Population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH



**Table 6: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Gender  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>4,667</b>	<b>5,466</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.1%	53.9%
<b>Pamdale</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>4,585</b>	<b>5,787</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.2%	55.8%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>		
	<b>Acton</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>267</b>	<b>302</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	47.0%	53.0%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>136</b>	<b>164</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.3%	54.7%
	<b>Del Sur</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>63</b>	<b>75</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.8%	54.2%
	<b>Gorman</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>49</b>	<b>57</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.0%	54.0%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>100</b>	<b>116</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.4%	53.6%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>84</b>	<b>85</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	49.8%	50.2%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>385</b>	<b>479</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.6%	55.4%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>92</b>	<b>125</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	42.2%	57.8%
	<b>Llano</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	51.5%	48.5%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>458</b>	<b>532</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.2%	53.8%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>462</b>	<b>580</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.3%	55.7%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>103</b>	<b>124</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.3%	54.7%
<b>Total Population Estimated with SED &amp; SMI</b>		<b>11,496</b>	<b>13,934</b>
<i>Percentage</i>		45.2%	54.8%
<b>SMI Rates for Total Population by Gender</b>		<b>6.37%</b>	<b>7.76%</b>

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County Population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH

## Poverty – SA 1 2007


Poverty Levels are established by the United States Census Bureau. The US Census Bureau uses a set of money income thresholds that vary by family size and composition to calculate the poverty levels. The official poverty levels do not vary geographically and are updated for inflation using Consumer Price Index (CPI-U).

The official poverty definition uses money income before taxes and does not include capital gains or non-cash benefits (such as public housing, Medicaid, and food stamps). Money from income includes earnings, unemployment compensation, social security payment, and public assistance payments.


In 2007 the average 100% poverty level for a family composed of two adults was \$13,540, for a family of two adults and two children it was \$21,027. 200% poverty levels are estimated as twice the amount of 100% poverty level. For example, for a family of four with two children and two adults the income level for 200% poverty is  $\$21,027 \times 2 = \$42,054$ .

In SA 1, in 2007, nearly 140,763 individuals were estimated to be living at or below 200% poverty level.

**Population Living Below 200% Poverty by Ethnicity**




**Population Living Below 200% Poverty by Age-Group**



\* Transitional Age Youth

**Table 7: Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

CITY	Community	White	African American	Native American	Latino	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Total
Lancaster		23,457	13,112	442	20,427	1,870	59,308
	Percentage	39.6%	22.1%	0.7%	34.4%	3.2%	100%
Pamdale		13,918	11,289	361	31,973	1,874	59,415
	Percentage	23.4%	19.0%	0.6%	53.8%	3.2%	100%
Unincorporated Areas	Community						
	Acton	1,014	32	30	609	6	1,691
	Percentage	60.0%	1.9%	1.8%	36.0%	0%	100%
	Agua Dulce	310	23	6	104	0	443
	Percentage	70.0%	5.2%	1.4%	23.5%	0%	100%
	Del Sur	376	23	7	134	0	540
	Percentage	69.6%	4.3%	1.3%	24.8%	0%	100%
	Gorman	409	19	2	131	0	561
	Percentage	72.9%	3.4%	0.4%	23.4%	0%	100%
	Hi Vista	713	24	7	571	1	1,316
	Percentage	54.2%	1.8%	0.5%	43.4%	0.1%	100%
	Lake Hughes	194	27	0	176	0	397
	Percentage	48.9%	6.8%	0%	44.3%	0%	100%
	Lake Los Angeles	1,599	1,158	64	2,766	31	5,618
	Percentage	28.5%	20.6%	1.1%	49.2%	0.6%	100%
	Leona Valley	564	27	8	212	0	811
	Percentage	69.5%	3.3%	1.0%	26.1%	0%	100%
	Llano	164	22	2	96	0	284
	Percentage	57.7%	7.7%	0.7%	33.8%	0%	100%
	Pearblossom	1,550	501	41	3,151	3	5,246
	Percentage	29.5%	9.6%	0.8%	60.1%	0%	100%
	Quartz Hill	2,143	282	26	1,131	15	3,597
	Percentage	59.6%	7.8%	0.7%	31.4%	0%	100%
	South Antelope Valley	558	112	3	860	3	1,536
	Percentage	36.3%	7.3%	0.2%	56.0%	0%	100%
Total		46,969	26,651	999	62,341	3,803	140,763
	Percentage	33.4%	18.9%	0.7%	44.3%	2.7%	100%




**Table 8: Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level by Age Group  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

CITY	Community	Children 0-15 yrs	TAY <sup>1</sup> 16-25 yrs	Adult 26-59 yrs	Older Adult 60+ yrs	Total
Lancaster		19,732	10,244	22,818	6,514	59,308
	Percentage	33.3%	17.3%	38.5%	11.0%	100%
Pamdale		21,476	12,577	20,624	4,738	59,415
	Percentage	36.1%	21.2%	34.7%	8.0%	100%
Unincorporated Areas	Community					
	Acton	233	593	697	168	1,691
	Percentage	13.8%	35.1%	41.2%	9.9%	100%
	Agua Dulce	53	150	169	71	443
	Percentage	12.0%	33.9%	38.1%	16.0%	100%
	Del Sur	49	109	157	225	540
	Percentage	9.1%	20.2%	29.1%	41.7%	100%
	Gorman	104	162	191	104	561
	Percentage	18.5%	28.9%	34.0%	18.5%	100%
	Hi Vista	444	307	671	209	1,631
	Percentage	27.2%	18.8%	41.1%	12.8%	100%
	Lake Hughes	101	126	250	33	510
	Percentage	19.8%	24.7%	49.0%	6.5%	100%
	Lake Los Angeles	2,516	1,644	2,346	462	6,968
	Percentage	36.1%	23.6%	33.7%	6.6%	100%
	Leona Valley	223	203	335	291	1,052
	Percentage	21.2%	19.3%	31.8%	27.7%	100%
	Llano	38	64	165	110	377
	Percentage	10.1%	17.0%	43.8%	29.2%	100%
	Pearblossom	1,992	1,300	2,921	665	6,878
	Percentage	29.0%	18.9%	42.5%	9.7%	100%
	Quartz Hill	1,083	929	1,794	816	4,622
	Percentage	23.4%	20.1%	38.8%	17.7%	100%
	South Antelope Valley	756	304	710	208	1,978
	Percentage	38.2%	15.4%	35.9%	10.5%	100%
Total		48,800	28,712	53,848	14,614	145,974
	Percentage	33.4%	19.7%	36.9%	10.0%	100%


<sup>1</sup> TAY = Transition Age Youth

**Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level  
by Age Group  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**



**Table 9: Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level by Gender  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

CITY	Community	Male	Female	Total
Lancaster		26,142	33,166	59,308
	Percentage	44.1%	55.9%	100%
Pamdale		26,896	32,519	59,415
	Percentage	45.3%	54.7%	100%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>				
	Acton	771	920	1,691
	Percentage	45.6%	54.4%	100%
	Agua Dulce	202	241	443
	Percentage	45.6%	54.4%	100%
	Del Sur	273	267	540
	Percentage	50.6%	49.4%	100%
	Gorman	298	263	561
	Percentage	53.1%	46.9%	100%
	Hi Vista	681	635	1,316
	Percentage	51.7%	48.3%	100%
	Lake Hughes	196	201	397
	Percentage	49.4%	50.6%	100%
	Lake Los Angeles	2,798	2,820	5,618
	Percentage	49.8%	50.2%	100%
	Leona Valley	377	434	811
	Percentage	46.5%	53.5%	100%
	Llano	144	140	284
	Percentage	50.7%	49.3%	100%
	Pearblossom	2,711	2,535	5,246
	Percentage	51.7%	48.3%	100%
	Quartz Hill	1,602	1,995	3,597
	Percentage	44.5%	55.5%	100%
	South Antelope Valley	701	835	1,536
	Percentage	45.6%	54.4%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>63,792</b>	<b>76,971</b>	<b>140,763</b>
	Percentage	45.3%	54.7%	100%




## Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty Level – SA 1- 2007


Estimated prevalence of SED and SMI among poverty population is applied to population estimates of people living below 200% poverty. The State Department of Mental Health calculates the prevalence of mental illness among population living at or below 200% poverty at 8.8%.

Prevalence Rate by ethnicity for population living at or below 200% poverty was Whites – 8.70%, African Americans - 8.50%, Latinos - 8.90%, Native American – 7.10%, and Asian/Pacific Islanders – 8.90% (see table 10).

**Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty and Living with SED & SMI by Ethnicity - SA 1**



**Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty and Living with SED & SMI by Age Group - SA 1**



† TAY = Transitional Age Youth

**Table 10: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Ethnicity Among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

CITY	Community	White	African American	Native American	Latino	Asian/ Pacific Islander
Lancaster	Population estimated with SED & SMI	1,621	962	31	1,481	142
	Percentage	38.3%	22.7%	0.7%	35.0%	3.3%
Pamdale	Population estimated with SED & SMI	962	829	25	2,318	142
	Percentage	22.5%	19.4%	0.6%	54.2%	3.3%
Unincorporated Areas	Community					
	Acton					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	70	2	2	44	0
	Percentage	58.8%	2.0%	1.8%	37.1%	0.4%
	Agua Dulce					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	21	2	0	8	0
	Percentage	68.9%	5.4%	1.4%	24.3%	0%
	Del Sur					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	26	2	0	10	0
	Percentage	68.6%	4.5%	1.3%	25.6%	0%
	Gorman					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	28	1	0	9	0
	Percentage	71.9%	3.5%	0.4%	24.2%	0%
	Hi Vista					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	49	2	0	41	0
	Percentage	53.0%	1.9%	0.5%	44.5%	0.1%
	Lake Hughes					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	13	2	0	13	0
	Percentage	47.6%	7.0%	0%	45.3%	0%
	Lake Los Angeles					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	110	85	5	201	2
	Percentage	27.4%	21.1%	1.1%	49.8%	0.6%
	Leona Valley					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	39	2	1	15	0
	Percentage	68.5%	3.5%	1.0%	27.0%	0%
	Llano					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	11	2	0	7	0
	Percentage	56.5%	8.1%	0.7%	34.7%	0%
	Pearblossom					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	107	37	3	228	0
	Percentage	28.5%	9.8%	0.8%	60.8%	0.1%
	Quartz Hill					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	148	21	2	82	1
	Percentage	58.4%	8.2%	0.7%	32.3%	0.4%
	South Antelope Valley					
	Population estimated with SED & SMI	39	8	0	62	0
	Percentage	35.2%	7.5%	0.2%	56.9%	0.2%
Total Population Estimated with SED & SMI		3,246	1,956	70	4,520	288
Percentage		16.6%	10.0%	0.4%	23.1%	50.0%
SMI Rates for 200% Poverty by Ethnicity		8.70%	8.50%	7.10%	8.90%	8.90%

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH

**Table 11: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Age Group Among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Children 0-15 yrs</b>	<b>TAY<sup>1</sup> 16-25 yrs</b>	<b>Adult 26-59 yrs</b>	<b>Older Adult 60+ yrs</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>1,559</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>1,483</b>	<b>397</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	36.3%	20.0%	34.5%	9.2%
<b>Pamdale</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>1,697</b>	<b>1,056</b>	<b>1,341</b>	<b>289</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	38.7%	24.1%	30.6%	6.6%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>				
	<b>Acton</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>18</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>10</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	14.9%	40.2%	36.6%	8.3%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	15.8%	26.4%	41.4%	16.3%
	<b>Del Sur</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	10.5%	24.8%	27.6%	37.1%
	<b>Gorman</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	20.2%	33.5%	30.6%	15.6%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>31</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>8</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	31.9%	26.5%	33.3%	8.4%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	25.7%	36.2%	33.5%	4.6%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>173</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>18</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	40.9%	28.7%	26.0%	4.4%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	29.4%	26.5%	25.9%	18.2%
	<b>Llano</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	17.4%	26.8%	35.7%	20.1%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>124</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>25</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	32.3%	25.2%	36.0%	6.4%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>70</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>29</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	26.7%	28.8%	33.3%	11.1%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>				
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>51</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>6</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.8%	20.7%	29.6%	4.9%
<b>Total Population Estimated with SED &amp; SMI</b>		<b>3,766</b>	<b>2,370</b>	<b>3,336</b>	<b>823</b>
<i>Percentage</i>		36.6%	23.0%	32.4%	8.0%

<sup>1</sup> TAY = Transition Age Youth

<b>SMI Rates for 200% Poverty by Age Group</b>	<b>7.90%</b>	<b>10.30%</b>	<b>7.90%</b>	<b>6.80%</b>
--	--------------	---------------	--------------	--------------

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH



**Table 12: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Gender Among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>1,673</b>	<b>2,587</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	39.3%	60.7%
<b>Pamdale</b>	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>1,721</b>	<b>2,536</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	40.4%	59.6%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>		
	<b>Acton</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>49</b>	<b>72</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	40.7%	59.3%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	40.7%	59.3%
	<b>Del Sur</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>17</b>	<b>21</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.6%	54.4%
	<b>Gorman</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	48.2%	51.8%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>44</b>	<b>50</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.8%	53.2%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.4%	55.6%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>179</b>	<b>220</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.9%	55.1%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	41.6%	58.4%
	<b>Llano</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.8%	54.2%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>174</b>	<b>198</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.7%	53.3%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>103</b>	<b>156</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	39.7%	60.3%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>		
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	<b>45</b>	<b>65</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	40.8%	59.2%
<b>Total Population Estimated with SED &amp; SMI</b>		<b>4,083</b>	<b>6,004</b>
<i>Percentage</i>		40.5%	59.5%
<b>SMI Rates for 200% Poverty by Gender</b>		<b>6.37%</b>	<b>7.76%</b>

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH

**Table 13: Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) for Total Population and Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Total Population</b>	<b>Total 200% Poverty</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>142,987</b>	<b>59,308</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	9,695	5,201
<b>Pamdale</b>	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>145,837</b>	<b>59,415</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	9,888	5,211
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>		
	<b>Acton</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>8,043</b>	<b>1,691</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	545	148
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>4,225</b>	<b>443</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	286	39
	<b>Del Sur</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>1,953</b>	<b>540</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	132	47
	<b>Gorman</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>1,493</b>	<b>561</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	101	49
	<b>Hi Vista</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>3,048</b>	<b>1,631</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	207	143
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>2,397</b>	<b>510</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	163	45
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>12,164</b>	<b>6,968</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	825	611
	<b>Leona Valley</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>3,040</b>	<b>1,052</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	206	92
	<b>Llano</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>1,255</b>	<b>377</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	85	33
	<b>Pearblossom</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>13,972</b>	<b>6,878</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	947	603
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>14,646</b>	<b>4,622</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	993	405
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>		
	<i>Estimated Population</i>	<b>3,206</b>	<b>1,978</b>
	<i>Population estimated with SED &amp; SMI</i>	217	173
<b>Total Estimated Population</b>		<b>358,266</b>	<b>145,974</b>
<b>Total Population Estimated with SED &amp; SMI</b>		24,290	12,802
<b>SMI Rates for Total Population</b>		<b>6.78%</b>	<b>8.77%</b>

Data Source: Prevalence Rates for SED and SMI are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI and Training Divisions – LAC-DMH

**Table 14: Individuals Eligible for Medi-Cal Benefits in March 2008 by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

CITY	Community	White	African American	Native American	Latino	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Total
Lancaster		2,977	1,029	19	16,613	176	20,814
	Percentage	14.3%	4.9%	0.1%	79.8%	0.8%	100%
Pamdale		3,307	448	22	8,066	553	12,396
	Percentage	26.7%	3.6%	0.2%	65.1%	4.5%	100%
Unincorporated Areas	Community						
	Acton	845	184	15	1,776	144	2,964
	Percentage	28.5%	6.2%	1%	59.9%	4.9%	100%
	Agua Dulce	269	42	0	782	50	1,143
	Percentage	23.5%	3.7%	0%	68.4%	4%	100%
	Del Sur	29	57	0	867	0	953
	Percentage	3.0%	6.0%	0%	91.0%	0%	100%
	Gorman	113	66	0	1,885	29	2,093
	Percentage	5.4%	3.2%	0%	90.1%	1.4%	100%
	Hi Vista	2,174	88	4	1,307	71	3,644
	Percentage	59.7%	2.4%	0.1%	35.9%	1.9%	100%
	Lake Hughes	88	18	3	1,047	9	1,165
	Percentage	7.6%	1.5%	0%	89.9%	0.8%	100%
	Lake Los Angeles	719	19	1	330	20	1,089
	Percentage	66.0%	1.7%	0.1%	30.3%	1.8%	100%
	Leona Valley	632	180	13	3,598	85	4,508
	Percentage	14.0%	4.0%	0.3%	79.8%	1.9%	100%
	Llano	284	103	1	810	60	1,258
	Percentage	22.6%	8.2%	0%	64.4%	5%	100%
	Pearblossom	1,236	272	14	3,765	218	5,505
	Percentage	22.5%	4.9%	0.3%	68.4%	4.0%	100%
	Quartz Hill	705	401	10	5,764	251	7,131
	Percentage	9.9%	5.6%	0.1%	80.8%	3.5%	100%
	South Antelope Valley	883	175	7	1,638	105	2,808
	Percentage	31.4%	6.2%	0.2%	58.3%	3.7%	100%
Total		14,261	3,082	109	48,248	1,771	67,471
	Percentage	21.1%	4.6%	0.2%	71.5%	2.6%	100%

**Table 15: Individuals Eligible for Medi-Cal Benefits in March 2008 by Age Group  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Children 0-15 yrs</b>	<b>TAY<sup>1</sup> 16-25 yrs</b>	<b>Adult 26-59 yrs</b>	<b>Older Adults 60+ yrs</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>18,911</b>	<b>6,199</b>	<b>8,860</b>	<b>3,579</b>	<b>37,549</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	50.4%	16.5%	23.6%	9.5%	100%
<b>Pamdale</b>		<b>19,976</b>	<b>5,947</b>	<b>6,938</b>	<b>3,176</b>	<b>36,037</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	55.4%	16.5%	19.3%	8.8%	100%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>					
	<b>Acton</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>490</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	47.1%	16.9%	22.9%	13.1%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>231</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.3%	16.5%	20.8%	16.5%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>404</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	48.8%	14.6%	22.5%	14.1%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>174</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	41.4%	16.7%	27.6%	14.4%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>1,185</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2,192</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	54.1%	19.8%	22.8%	3.4%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>61</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	41.0%	11.5%	32.8%	14.8%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>2,215</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>4,068</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	54.4%	16.3%	21.4%	7.9%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>347</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	24.8%	9.8%	16.1%	49.3%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>145</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	40.7%	15.2%	30.3%	13.8%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>1,834</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>3,486</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	52.6%	16.2%	19.9%	11.3%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>1,178</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>2,456</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	48.0%	16.8%	24.6%	10.6%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>784</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	59.1%	12.9%	20.9%	7.1%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>46,539</b>	<b>14,592</b>	<b>19,047</b>	<b>8,246</b>	<b>88,424</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	52.6%	16.5%	21.5%	9.3%	100%

<sup>1</sup> TAY = Transition Age Youth

**Table 16: Individuals Eligible for Medi-Cal Benefits in March 2008 by Gender  
Service Area 1 - CY 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>10,124</b>	<b>12,112</b>	<b>22,236</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.5%	54.5%	100%
<b>Pamdale</b>		<b>6,519</b>	<b>7,909</b>	<b>14,428</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.2%	54.8%	100%
<b>Unincorporated Areas</b>	<b>Community</b>			
	<b>Acton</b>	<b>1,654</b>	<b>1,760</b>	<b>3,414</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	48.4%	51.6%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>1,278</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.7%	53.3%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>996</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	48.1%	51.9%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>1,279</b>	<b>2,258</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	43.4%	56.6%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>1,839</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>3,958</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.5%	53.5%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>1,247</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.9%	55.1%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>1,239</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.7%	53.3%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>2,280</b>	<b>2,634</b>	<b>4,914</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	46.4%	53.6%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>1,378</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	43.3%	56.7%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>2,708</b>	<b>3,513</b>	<b>6,221</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	43.5%	56.5%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>3,555</b>	<b>4,359</b>	<b>7,914</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.9%	55.1%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>1,795</b>	<b>3,203</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	44.0%	56.0%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>33,877</b>	<b>40,807</b>	<b>74,684</b>
	<i>Percentage</i>	45.4%	54.6%	100%